# HALF YEAR REPORT (2009-10)

# **1. GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE KVK**

#### 1.1. Name and address of KVK with phone, fax and e-mail

Address	Telep	hone	E mail	Web Address
Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Junagadh Agricultural University, Targhadia, Dist.: Rajkot (Gujarat) - 360 003	Office (0281) 2784170	FAX (0281) 2784170	kvkrajkot@gmail.com	www.jau.in

# 1.2 .Name and address of host organization with phone, fax and e-mail

Address	Tele	E mail	
Address	Office	FAX	
Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (Gujarat)	0285- 2672080	0285-2672653	dee@jau.in

# 1.3. Name of the Programme Coordinator with phone & mobile No.

Name	Telephone / Contact					
Name	Residence	Mobile	Email			
Dr. B. B. Kabaria	"Ramdoot" B-17, Aalap Century, Kalawad road, Rajkot – 360 005	09374202518	drkabaria@gmail.com			

# 1.4. Year of sanction: September - 2004

# 1.5. Staff Position (as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2010)

Sr. No.	Sanctioned post	Name of the incumbent	Designation	Disci pline	Pay Scale (Rs.)	present basic (Rs.)	Date of joining	Permanent /Temporary	Category (SC/ST/ OBC/ Others)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Prog. Co.	Dr. B. B. Kabaria	Programme Coordinator	Agril. Ento.	12000- 18300 (emp scal) 16400- 22400	18650	15-09- 06	Permanent	General
2	SMS	Dr. J.B. Kathiriya	SMS (Ani. Sci)	Ani Sci.	8000- 13500	8000	19-08- 06	Permanent	General
3	SMS	Shri M.G. Khokhani	SMS (Agron)	Agron.	8000- 13500 (emp scal) 12000- 18300	14940	20-6-09	Permanent	General
4	SMS	Shri D.A.Sardava	SMS (Plant Prot.)	Agril. Ento.	8000- 13500	9650	27-6-09	Permanent	General
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

5	SMS	Dr. N.D. Polara	SMS (Horti)	Horti	8000- 13500	9925	18-08- 06	Permanent	General
6	SMS	Shri. D.P. Sanepara	SMS (Agril. Engg.)	Agri. Eng.	8000- 13500	10200	1-6-09	Permanent	General
7	SMS	Mrs.H.H. Padsumbiya	SMS (Home Sci)	Home Sci.	8000- 13500	8000	17-08- 06	Permanent	General
8	Pro. Ass.	Shri.J.K. Rachhadiya	Programme Assistant (Training)	-	5500- 9000	8125	01-06- 09	Permanent	General
9	Com. Prog.	Miss. R.T. Padliya	Programme Assistant/ Computer Operator	-	5500- 9000	4500 (Fix)	03-1-09	Permanent	General
10	Farm Man.	Vacant	Programme Assistant(Farm Manager)	-	5500- 9000	-	-	-	
11	Acc. / Sup.	Shri. J. B. Bhatt	Offi. Sup. Cum A/c. Officer	-	5500- 9000 (emp scal) 5000- 8000	7250	14-09- 06	Permanent	General
12	Stenog	Shri B.J. Lalkiya	Junior Steno	-	4000- 6000 (emp scal) 5000- 8000	5750	01-05- 07	Permanent	General
13	Driver	Shri. B.K. Gondaliya	Jeep Driver- Cum Mechanic	-	3050- 4590 (emp scal) 4000- 6000	5400	11-09- 08	Permanent	OBC
14	Driver	Shri.D.K. Makwana	Jeep Driver- Cum Mechanic	-	3050- 4590	4110	01-07- 06	Permanent	OBC
15	Supp staff	Smt.U.G Zala	Supporting Staff	-	2550- 3200	3140	16-09- 04	Permanent	General
16	Supp staff	Shri Y.B.Joshi	Supporting Staff	-	2550- 3200 (emp scal) 2610- 3540	3540	2-6-09	Permanent	General

# 1.6. Total land with KVK (in ha)

Sr. No.	Item	Area (ha)
1	Under Buildings	1.00
2.	Under Demonstration Units	3.50
3.	Under Crops	9.00
4.	Orchard/Agro-forestry	6.00
5.	Others	0.50

:

# 1.7. Infrastructural Development:

	A) Buildings :	-							
		Source	e Stage						
Sr.	Name of	of		omplete			Incomp	lete	
No	building	funding	Completion Date	Plinth area (Sq.m)	Expe- nditure (Rs.)	Starting Date	Plinth area (Sq.m)	Status of constructio n	
1.	Administrative Building	KVK				March-10		Construction work is	
2.	Farmers Hostel	KVK				March-10	305	under	
3.	Staff Quarters (6)	KVK				March-10	400	progress	
4.	Poly House	RKVY	31-3-09	320	281602				
5	Net House	RKVY	31-3-09	150	64498				
6.	Farm godown	RKVY	9-2-10	70.61	454500				
7.	Training hall	RKVY	11-2-10	190.99	1395800				
8.	Process plant	RKVY	11-2-10	197.31	1536400				
9.	Implement shed	RKVY	9-2-10	77.33	297800				

# B) Vehicles

Type of vehicle	Year of purchase	Cost (Rs.)	Total kms. Run	Present status
Toyota Qualis	2004	590000	-	Working at junagadh on pooled basis
Tata Sumo	2008	600000	58000	Purchase from MP grant

# C) Equipments & AV aids

Name of the equipment	Year of purchase	Cost (Rs.)	Present status
Generator set	27-3-2002	24900	Working
Color TV (Akai) with Remote	27-3-2002	13850	Working
EPBAX system	27-3-2002	29000	Working
With wiring PVC fitting	27-3-2002	7200	Working
Jelly Cable	27-3-2002	3600	Working
Btel Telephone Skipper	27-3-2002	5625	Working
BPL Telephone	27-3-2002	1300	Working
MDFL Box	27-3-2002	300	Working
Panasonic PT LC 50 LCD Project	28-3-2002	164368	Working
PA Audio Vision System	28-3-2002	20000	Working

# 1.8. A). Details SAC meeting conducted in the year

Sr. No.	Date	Name and Designation of Participants	Salient Recommendations	Action taken
		<ol> <li>Name and Designation of Participants</li> <li>Dr.R.L.Savaliya, Director of Extension Education, JAU, Junagadh</li> <li>Dr. A.U. Dhruj, Associate Director of Research ., JAU</li> <li>Dr. B.B. Kabaria, T.O., Targhadia</li> <li>Dr.M.K.Khistariya, ADR (DF),Targhadia</li> <li>Dr. D.B. Gajera, Dist. Agri. Officer, Rajkot</li> <li>Dr.V.S.Ajudia, Assit. Dire.of A.H, Rajkot</li> <li>B. Daslaniya, A.I.R.,Rajkot</li> <li>J. R. Patel, Assi. Dir. Horti., Rajkot</li> <li>G.H. Raouji, GLDC, Rajkot</li> <li>Dr. D.S.Kelaiya, Junagadh</li> <li>Dr. K. P. Baraiya, T.O., Jamnagar</li> <li>H. M. Bhuva, SMS, Nana Kandhasar</li> </ol>		Action taken Suggestion accepted & Implemented Suggestion accepted & Implemented Suggestion accepted & Implemented Suggestion accepted & Implemented
		<ol> <li>Alpaben Saipariya, Farm woman, Rataiya</li> <li>Hareshbhai Saipariya, Prog. Farmer, Rataiya</li> <li>Damjibhai Vora, Prog. Farmer, Devgam</li> </ol>		

# 2. DETAILS OF DISTRICT (2009-10)

2.1. Major farming systems/enterprises (based on the analysis made by the KVK)

Sr. No	Farming system/enterprise
1	Groundnut – Wheat/ Cumin, Cotton – Summer Groundnut/ Pulse crop
2	Dairy product
3	Vermi-composting
4	Fruit, Vegetable Preservation
5	Value addition in Groundnut, Til and Bajra

2.2 Description of Agro-climatic Zone & major agro ecological situations (based on soil and topography)

Sr. No	Agro- climatic Zone	Characteristics
1.	North	The total geographical area of the North Saurashtra Agro Climatic Zone
	Saurashtra	is 35.2 Lack Ha. Out of total area, 73.40 per cent area falls under arid
	Agro Climatic	and semi-arid region. The soils of this zone are shallow to moderately
	Zone (VI)	deep. The soils of Rajkot district is medium in their availability of nitrogen while low in phosphorus and high in available potash except the available phosphorus and potash is in medium category in adopted villages. Monsoon commences usually by the middle of June and withdraws by middle of September. Average annual rainfall of districts is 459.5 mm.

Sr.	Agro ecological situation	Characteristics	Taluka Covered*
No			

1.	Medium Black Soil with 500-600 mm Rainfall	-	Gondal, Jamkandorna
	(Situation No. 2)		
2.	Shallow black soil with 500-600 mm Rainfall	-	Lodhika, Padadhari,
	(Situation No. 4)		Rajkot, Kotada sangani
3.	Residual Sandy Soils with 500-600 mm Rainfall	-	Morbi, Vankaner,
	(Situation No. 7)		Tankara, Maliya
4.	Hilly Soils with 500-600 mm Rainfall	-	Jasdan
	(Situation No. 14)		

• Jetpur, Dhoraji and Upleta Taluka falls under the South Saurashtra (VII) Agro – Climatic Zone

# 2.3 Soil type/s

Sr. No	Soil type	Characteristics	Area in ('000) ha
1.	Clay to clay loam	Medium black calcareous soil	258
2.	Sandy Clay Loam to Clayey	Well drained soil with rapid permeability	301
3.	Sandy to Sandy 10 cm, Calcareous	Well drained soils	

# 2.4. Area, Production and Productivity of major crops cultivated in the district (2008-09)

Sr. No	Сгор	Area (ha)	Production (MT)	Productivity (Kg. /ha)
Kharif Season				
1.	Groundnut	350560	513189.9	1463.91
2.	Cotton (Bt.)	267375	581455.4	2174.68
3.	Cotton (Desi)	31811	35644.5	112.51
4.	Pearl Millet	9831	10626.75	1080.94
5.	Sorghum	50	50.00	1000.00
6.	Sesamum	26318	10080.00	383.01
7.	Castor	12825	36997.90	2884.83
8.	Pegion pea	630	579.73	920.20
9.	Black gram	3523	1066.18	302.63
10.	Green gram	3295	1188.95	360.83
Rabi Season				
1.	Wheat	111021	373429.5	3363.59
2.	Mustard	237	254.14	2072.32
3.	Cumin	34604	20431.90	590.45
4.	Vegetable	6428	30831	4796.36
5.	Onion	9171	267641	29183.4
6.	Garlic	11617	85504.5	7360.29

2.5. Weather data (2009-10)

Month	Dainfall (mm)	Tempera	Relative	
WOITIN	Rainfall (mm)	Maximum	Minimum	Humidity (%)
October- 2009	0.0	20.80	35.87	79.75
November-2009	0.0	17.92	33.55	64.00
December-2009	0.0	14.18	30.26	65.40
January – 2010	0.0	12.95	29.62	63.75
February -2010	0.0	14.78	32.28	60.60
March - 2010	0.0	38.1	20.1	60.00

2.6. Production and productivity of livestock, Poultry, Fisheries etc. in the district

Category	Population	Production ('000 tone)	Productivity
1	2	3	4
Cattle			
Crossbred	14866	13.73	
Indigenous	424342 134018		
Buffalo	Buffalo 273953 206.82		
Sheep			
Crossbred			
Indigenous	274546		
Goats	218139	10.61	
Pigs			
Crossbred			
Indigenous	23044		
Rabbits			
1	2	3	4
Poultry			
Hens			
Desi	5930		
Improved	126137		
Ducks	50		
Others			
Horse and Camel	792		

Category	Area	Production	Productivity	
Fish				
Marine				
Inland				
Prawn				
Scampi				
Shrimp				

Sr.	Taluka	Name of	Name of	Major crops &	Major problem	Identified Thrust
No.	Ταιακά	the block	the village	enterprises	identified	Areas
			Ranpur	Groundnut,	Heavy infestation	*IPM and INM in
			Magharvada		of sucking pest in	major crops of
1	Rajkot	Cluster	Deroi	Sesamum,	cotton, Sesamum	
	,	l	Bedla	Green gram, Black Gram.	leaf blight, Stem rot disease in	*Reducing the inter-calving
			Khorana	Wheat, Cumin,	Groundnut, Long	period in Buffalo
			Metoda	Chickpea,	inter-calving	*Motivate the
			Sarapdad	Garlic, Onion.	period in Buffalo,	farmers for arid
2	Paddhari	Cluster	Kerala	Enterprises are dairy business,	Nutritional deficiency in	Horticultural crops.
			Nani Amreli	vermi	animal feed and	* To create the
			Suvag	composting,	fodder, Less area	
			Mesariya	preparation of roasted	under Horticultural	grading, processing and
			Ratadiya	groundnut and	crops. Low "N" in	marketing (value
3	3 Wankaner	Cluster III	Samdhiyala	chikki from	soil.	addition)
			Kothi	groundnut seed.		
			Jalida	366U.		

# 2.7 Priority thrust areas

Crop/Enterprise	Thrust area					
Groundnut,	Increasing the productivity of the major crops by adopting recommended					
Sesamum etc	ry farming technologies and to create awareness for value addition.					
Water	n situ soil moisture conservation and rainwater harvesting.					
conservation						
Cotton	Motivating cotton growers to adopt IPM and INM practices for reducing the cost of production.					
Arid Fruits	Promoting the arid horticulture.					
Livestock prod.	Enhancing productivity of milch animals by proper feeding and breeding management.					
women	Providing self employment through skill oriented income generating					
empowerment	activities					
Agriculture	Developing interest among youth for agriculture as a profession.					
Horticulture	Value addition in agriculture produces through proper grading, processing, marketing and information technology.					
PHT	Minimizing the post harvest losses and to create the awareness for proper storage.					
Income	Self employment among tribal youth and skill oriented income generating					
generating	activities.					
activities						
Nutrition	Care and importance of nutrition in children & pregnant women.					
management						

# **3. TECHNICAL ACHIEVEMENTS**

# 3.A. Details of target and achievements of mandatory activities by KVK during 2009-10

OFT				FLD			
1				2			
Number of OFTs		Number of Farmers		Number of FLDs (Area in ha.)		Number of Farmers	
Targets	Achievement	Targets	Achievement	Targets	Achievement	Targets	Achievement
8	7	54	44	14	18.8	35	47

Training (including sponsored, vocational and other trainings carried under Rainwater Harvesting Unit)						Extension Activities			
3							4		
Num	ber of Co	urses	Numb Partici		Numb activ		Number of Participants		
Clientele	Targets	Achievement	Т	Α	Т	Α	Т	Α	
Farmers	39	34	1950	929		114		14758	
Rural youth	-	-							
Extn.	3	3	75	75					
Functionaries									
Total	42	37	2025	1004		114		14758	

Seed Pi	oduction (Qtl.)	Planting material (Nos.)			
	5	6			
Target	Achievement	Target	Achievement		
	24.10	-	-		

# **3.B.** Abstract of interventions undertaken

						Interver	ntions		
S. N.	Thrust area	Crop/ Enter- prise	Identified Problem	Title of OFT if any	Title of FLD if any	Title of Training if any	Title of training for ext. personnel if any	Extensi on activities	Supply of seeds, planting material s etc.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Increase the productivity of buffalo	Live stock	Long Inter calving period in buffalo	Reduction of Inter – Calving Period in Buffalo	-	Training for reduction Inter calving period in buffalo	-	Group meeting	Medicine
2	Increase the productivity of cotton	Cash crop	Imbalance fertilization in cotton	Low yield of cotton	-	Balance fertilization in cotton	-	Field day/ Kishan gosti	Fertilizer
3	Increase the productivity of sesamum	Oil seeds	incidence of leaf roller in sesamum	Managemen t of leaf roller in sesamum	-	IPM in major kharif crops	-	Field day	Pesticide
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

4	Increase the productivity of cotton	Oil seeds	incidence of sucking pest in cotton	Managemen t of sucking pests in cotton	-	IPM in cotton	-	Field day	Pesticide
5	Increase the productivity of groundnut	Oil seeds	Stem rot disease in groundnut	Application methods of Trichoderma against stem rot disease in groundnut	-	IDM in groundnut	-	Field day	Trichod erma
6	Increase the productivity of groundnut	Oil seeds	Low moisture content due to rain fed farming	Low yield of Groundnut due to proper tillage practice	-	Soil moisture conservation	-	Field day	Recomm ended practices
7	Increase the productivity of groundnut	Oil seeds	Low moisture content	Low yield of Groundnut due to loss of moisture during summer	-	Soil moisture conservation	-	Field day	Plastic mulch

# 3.1 Achievements on technologies assessed and refined

# A. DETAILS OF EACH ON FARM TRIAL (OFT)

Technology assessment /Refinement

# OFT - 1

- 1) <u>Title of technology assessed/Refined</u> : Reduction of Inter Calving Period in Buffalo
- 2) <u>Problem definition :</u> Long inter calving period in zafarabadi buffaloes
- 3) Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement:
  - One group of Dairy Animals be fed with Mineral Mixture + Panacure + Bio-Heat tablets.
  - ✓ Second group of Animals is fed with Panacure + Bio-Heat tablets..
  - ✓ Third group of Dairy Animals under control (Farmers Practice)
- 4) Source of technology: JAU, Junagadh
- 5) <u>Production system and thematic area</u> : Livestock enterprise and Production and management
- 6) Thematic area : Production and management

7) Performance of the technology with performance indicators:

ter No	Name of the																			
Farmer	farmer	Village	Тес	hn	ology ol	otion	1	Tech					n 2	Т	ech			-		n 3
			Indicato r 1	in mth	Indicato r 2 in mth	Indicato r 3	in mth	Indicato r 1 in mth		rnucato r 2	in mth	Indicato	r 3 in mth	Indicato	r 1 in mth	Indicato	r 2	in mth	Indicato	r 3 in mth
1	D.V.Fachara	Ravki																		
2	D.T.Vora	Devgam																		
3	B.M.Bhut	Devgam	12-		1.5-															
4	K.B.Bhut	Devgam	15		2.0															
5	B.N.Bhut	Devgam																		
6	D.U.Somaiya	Makhavad																		
7	V.V.Fachara	Ravki																		
8	S.T.Sangani	Devgam																		
9	V.H.Sangani	Devgam						15-18	2	2.0-										
10	K.B.Khunt	Devgam						13-10	2	2.4										
11	K.N.Gajipara	Devgam																		
12	N.C.Gajipara	Devgam																		
13	N.T.Bhut	Devgam																		
14	S.M.Raddiya	Devgam																		
15	V.J.Nasit	Devgam												10	-24	2	.4 -			
16	B.M.Vasoiya	Devgam												10	-24	3	.4			
17	V.N.Ramani	Nagarpipliya																		
18	J.D.Somaiya	Makhavad																		

Indicator 1 : Inter-calving period in month Indicator 2 : Average No. of Heats required for conception

- 8) <u>Final recommendation for micro level situation</u> : Dairy Animals be fed with Mineral Mixture + Panacure tablets + Bio-Heat tablets.
- 9) Constrains identified and feedback for research :
  - ✓ Imbalance feeding
  - ✓ Anestrous
  - ✓ Poor management
- 10)<u>Process of farmers participation and their reaction</u>: Farmer aware about feeding of Mineral Mixture + Panacure tablets + Bio-Heat tablets.
- 11) Results of on farm trials

Crop/	Farming		Title of OFT	No	Technology	Parameters of
enterprise	situation	definition		of	assessed	assessment
				trials		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Livestock	farming	Long Inter calving period in buffalo	Reduction of Inter Calving Period in Buffalo	3	Reduction of Inter – Calving Period in Buffalo	<ul> <li>Days of inter calving period</li> <li>Animal conceived in no. of heat</li> </ul>

Data or	n the	Results of	Feedback from	Technology	*Production per
---------	-------	------------	---------------	------------	-----------------

parameter	assessments	the farmers	assessed/refined	unit
8	9	10	11	12
Acc. to parameter	1. One group of	-	Third group of	
7	Animals is fed with	-	Dairy Animals	
	Panacure + Bio-	-	be fed with	
	Heat tablets.	-	Mineral Mixture	
	2. Second group of	-	+ Panacure +	
	Dairy Animals be	-	Bio-Heat	
	fed with Mineral	-	tablets.	
	Mixture.			

Net return (Profit) in Rs/Unit	BC Ratio
13	14
-	-

# OFT – 2

- 1) <u>Title of technology assessed/Refined</u>: Low yield of cotton
- 2) Problem definition : low yield of cotton due to Imbalance fertilization in cotton
- 3) Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement :
  - ✓ T1. Farmer's practices (125 kg DAP & 125 kg Urea /ha
  - T2. Recommended dose of fertilizer (160-0-0 NPK kg / ha ) in four split in which second split in form of Ammonium Sulphate
  - ✓ T3. T2 + 50 kg P2O5 ha-1 through DAP + 50 kg K2O ha-1 through MOP as a basal dose
  - $\checkmark$  T4. T3 + and 25 kg MgSO4 ha-1 + 10 kg ZnSO4 as a basal dose.
- 4) Source of technology : GAU
- 5) <u>Production system and thematic area</u> : Balance fertilization in cotton
- 6) Performance of the technology with performance indicators :

Farmer	Name of the	Name of the	Yield ( kg/ha )				
No	farmer	Village	T-1	T-2	T-3	T-4 *	Average
1	D.N.Dholariya	Deroi	3100	3000	3600	4100	3450
2	R. P. Bodar	Bedla	3300	3400	3700	4200	3650
3	B.R.Topiya	Magharvada	3220	3300	3600	4020	3530
4	H. R. Parmar	Zalida	2900	3000	3400	3800	3270
5	P. B. Rathod	Mesariya	3180	3200	3650	4080	3530
Average			3140	3180	3590	4040	

\*Comparatively less reddening was observed in treatment no.-4

- 7) <u>Final Recommendation for micro level situation</u>: Recommended dose of fertilizer (160-0-0) in four split in which second split in form of Ammonium Sulphate+ 50 kg P2O5 ha-1 through DAP + 50 kg K2O ha-1 through MOP as a basal dose.+ 25 kg MgSO4 ha-1 + 10 kg ZnSO4 as a basal dose.
- 8) Constrains identified and feedback for research :

- ✓ Unbalance fertilization

- ✓ Problems of sucking pest
   ✓ Lack of knowledge of fertilization
   ✓ Less use of organic manures in soil

# 9) Process of farmers participation and their reaction : Good

# 11) Results of on farm trials

Crop/	Farming	Problem	Title of OFT	No	Technology	Parameters of
enterprise	situation	definition		of	assessed	assessment
				trials		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Cotton	Irrigated	low yield of	Low yield of	5	Balance	Yield
		cotton due to	cotton		fertilization	
		Imbalance				
		fertilization in				
		cotton				

Data on the	Results of	Feedback from	0,	Production per unit
parameter	assessments	the farmers	assessed/refined	
8	9	10	11	12
Acc. to parameter 7	<b>y</b> T1 Farmers practices T2. Recommended dose of fertilizer (160-0-0 NPK kg / ha ) in four split in which second split in form of Ammonium Sulphate T3. T2 + 50 kg P2O5 ha-1 through DAP + 50 kg K2O ha-1 through MOP as a basal dose		11Recommended dose of fertilizer (160-0-0) in four split in which second split in form of AmmoniumSulphate+ 50 kg P2O5 ha-1 through DAP + 50 kg K2O ha-1 through MOP as a basal dose.+ 25 kg MgSO4 ha-1 + 10 kg ZnSO4 as a basal dose.	12 40.40 q / ha

Net return (Profit) in Rs/Unit	BC Ratio
13	14
81620	3.89
82977	3.93
95972	4.23
110370	4.56

- 1) <u>Title of technology assessed/Refined</u> : Management of leaf roller in sesamum.
- 2) Problem definition
  - $\checkmark$  No knowledge about the use of particular pesticide
  - ✓ No adoption of recommended practices
- 3) Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement :

Category	Source of technology	Technology details
Technology Option1	-	Farmer practices – Use of newer insecticides
Technology Option2	-	Recommended practices Insecticidal spray at ETL of 5 larvae / 20 plants
Technology Option3	-	Alternate spray of Endosulfan 0.07 % and monocrotophos 0.04 % at 30 and 45 DAS

- 4) Source of technology: JAU, Junagadh
- 5) <u>Production system and thematic area</u> : Integrated Disease Management
- 6) thematic area : Integrated Disease Management
- 7) Performance of the technology with performance indicators :

Farmer No	Name of the	Name of	Data on the performance indicators of the technology assessed/refined (Kg/ha)					9			
Fa	farmer	the Village	Technology option 1			Technology option 2			Technology option 3		
				ption	ო	- 0		<u>ო</u>			<b>ო</b>
			Indicator 1 Indicator 2* Indicator 3		Indicator '	Indicator 2*	Indicator (	Indicator .	Indicator 2*	Indicator (	
1	A.A.Badi	Kothi	480	0.15		500	0.05		480	0.2	
2	A.G.Choudhari	Mesariya	450	0.20		490	0.15		460	0.2	
3	Ali Haji	Samdhiyala	510	0.05		500	0.10		490	0.1	
4	Badi S. V.	Samdhiyala	540 0.10 525 0.05					530	0.1		
	Average		495	0.15		504	0.09		490	0.18	

\*Note Population of leaf roller after spray Indicator 1 : yield of Sesamum in Kg/ha

Indicator 1 : yield of Sesamum in Kg/l Indicator 2 : -- No. of leaf roller/plant

8) Final recommendation from micro level situation: Recommended practices Insecticidal spray at ETL of 5 larvae / 20 plants

9) Constrains identified and feedback for research :

- ✓ No knowledge about the use of particular pesticide to control leaf roller.
- ✓ No adoption of recommended schedule for spraying of insecticides based on ETL.
- ✓ Farmer spray insecticide as per instruction given by local pesticides retailer.

10) Process of farmers participation and their reaction:

# 11) Results of on farm trials

Crop/	Farming	Problem	Title of OFT	No	Technology	Parameters of
enterprise	situation	definition		of	assessed	assessment
				trials		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Oilseed	Rainfed	incidence of	Management of		Management of	<ul> <li>Pest population</li> </ul>
	farming	leaf roller in	leaf roller in	4	leaf roller in	<ul> <li>Yield of sesamum</li> </ul>
		sesamum	sesamum		sesamum	

Data on the	Results of	Feedback from	57	*Production per
parameter	assessments	the farmers	assessed/refined	unit
8	9	10	11	12
Acc. to parameter	1. Farmer practices	-	Alternate spray	
7	<ul> <li>Use of newer</li> </ul>	-	of Endosulfan	
	insecticide	-	0.07 % and	
	2. Reco. practices	-	monocrotophos	
	Insecticidal spray at	-	0.04 % at 30	
	ETL of 5 larvae / 20		and 45 DAS	
	plants			

Net return (Profit) in Rs/Unit	BC Ratio
13	14
16388	2.03
17323	2.12
16663	2.10

# **OFT – 4**

- 1) Title of technology assessed/Refined : Management of sucking pests in cotton.
- 2) Problem definition
  - ✓ Improper irrigation
  - ✓ No adoption of recommended practices
- 3) Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement :

Category	Source of technology	Technology details
Technology Option1	-	Farmers practice-Use of newer insecticide
Technology Option2	-	Use of new, old and bio control agent (Recommended practice)
Technology Option3	-	Alternate treatment one and two

- 4) Source of technology: JAU, Junagadh
- 5) Production system and thematic area : Integrated Pest Management
- 6) Thematic area : Integrated Pest Management
- 7) Performance of the technology with performance indicators :

Farmer No	Name of the	Name of	Data on the performance indicators of the technology assessed/refined (Kg/ha)								
Far	farmer	the Village		hnolo			hnolo		Technology		
_			0	otion	1	o	otion 2	2	ор	tion 3	
			1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
			Indicator Indicator Indicator		Indicator	Indicator	Indicator	Indicator	Indicator	Indicator	
1	V.C.Sagpariya	Devgam	1580	0.25	8	1680	0.25	6	1925	0.10	8
2	R.J.Nashit	Devgam	1550	0.30	4	1750	0.20	9	1950	0.15	4
3	R.D.Gamtha	Lodhika	1500	0.30	7	1800	0.20	З	2250	0.10	7
4	L.N.Bhuva	Makhavad	1400	0.35	10	1870	0.10	3	2450	0.00	5
5	Kvk farm	Targhadia	1525 0.30 6		1900	0.10	4	2075	0.10	1	
	Average		1511	0.30	7	1800	0.17	5	2130	0.09	5

Indicator 1 : yield of cotton in Kg/ha Indicator 2 : --No. of jassid 3 leaves/plant, indicator 3 : No. of thrips 3 leaves/plant

#### 8) Final recommendation from micro level situation: Alternate treatment one and two

#### 9) Constrains identified and feedback for research :

- ✓ No knowledge about the use of particular pesticide for the control of sucking pests, resulted the development of resistance in the pest.
- ✓ Use of higher dose of insecticide
- ✓ Improper irrigation.
- ✓ Not adopting recommended schedule for spraying insecticides.
- ✓ Poor weed management.
- ✓ Farmer spray insecticide as per instructions given by local pesticides retailer.
- ✓ Unbalance fertilization.
- ✓ Lack of knowledge of fertilization.
- 10) Process of farmers participation and their reaction: Satisfactory
- 11) Results of on farm trials

Crop/	Farming	Problem	Title of OFT	No	Technology	Parameters of
enterprise	situation	definition		of	assessed	assessment
				trials		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Cash crop	Rainfed	incidence	Management of		Management of	<ul> <li>Pest population</li> </ul>
	farming	sucking pest in	sucking pests in	3	sucking pests in	<ul> <li>Yield of cotton</li> </ul>
		cotton	cotton		cotton	

Data on the	Results of assessments	Feedback from	Technology	*Production
parameter		the farmers	assessed/refined	per unit
8	9	10	11	12
Acc. to	1. Farmers practice-Use of	-	Alternate	
parameter 7	newer insecticide	-	treatment one	
	2. Use of new, old and bio	-	and two	
	control agent	-		
	(Recommended practice)			

Net return (Profit) in Rs/Unit	BC Ratio
13	14
-	-

# OFT – 5

- 1) <u>Title of technology assessed/Refined</u> : <u>Problem identification</u> : Application methods of *Trichoderma* against stem rot disease in groundnut
- 2) Problem definition
  - ✓ Low plant population
  - ✓ Disease problems.
  - ✓ Lack of knowledge for use of recommended control measures

3) Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement :

Category	Source of technology	Technology details
Technology Option1	-	Mix Trichoderma @ 2.5 kg /ha with 50 kg fine sand or organic manure and soil application in side the groundnut row at 30 days after sowing in moist condition (General Recommendation- (Farmers Methods)
Technology Option2	-	Mixing Trichoderma @ 2.5 kg/ha with castor cake @ 500 kg/ha at the time of sowing with the help of multi purpose seed drill . (Recommended Practice by JAU).
Technology Option3	-	Soil drenching of Trichoderma @ 50 gm/10 litter of water using spray pump without nozzle. (Intervention)

- 4) Source of technology: JAU, Junagadh
- 5) <u>Production system and thematic area</u> : Integrated Disease Management
- 6) thematic area : Integrated Disease Management
- 7) Performance of the technology with performance indicators :

			Data on the performance indicators of the technology assessed/refined (Kg/ha)									
rmer No	Name of	Name of	Technology option 1			Technology option 2			Technology option 3		у	
Farmer No	the farmer	the Village	Indicator 1	Indicator 2	Indicator 3	Indicator 1	Indicator 2	Indicator 3	Indicator 1	Indicator 2	Indicator 3	
1	B. R. Topiya	Magharvada	980	4		1025	3.5		975	5		
2	B.D.Ramani	Bedala	920	4.5		1100	2.5		900	6		
3	U.N.Badi	Mesariya	1050	3		1125	2		940	7		
4	Jamalali Piparvaliya	Samdhiyala	870	5		1060	3		850	7		
	Average		955	4.125		1077.5	2.75		916.25	6.25		

Indicator 1 : yield of groundnut in Kg/ha

Indicator 2 : --Percent infected plant

<u>8) Final recommendation from micro level situation</u>: Soil drenching of Trichoderma @ 50 gm/10 litter of water using spray pump without nozzle. (Intervention)

9) Constrains identified and feedback for research :

- ✓ Low plant population
- ✓ Disease problems.
- ✓ Lack of knowledge for use of recommended control measures.
- 10) Process of farmers participation and their reaction:

Crop/	Farming	Problem	Title of OFT	No	Technology	Parameters of
enterprise	situation	definition		of	assessed	assessment
				trials		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Oilseed	0	Stem rot disease in groundnut	Application methods of Trichoderma against stem rot disease in groundnut	4	Application methods of Trichoderma against stem rot disease in groundnut	<ul> <li>Yield of groundnut</li> <li>Percent infected plant</li> </ul>

#### 11) Results of on farm trials

Data on the	Results of	Feedback from	Technology	*Production per
parameter	assessments	the farmers	assessed/refined	unit
8	9	10	11	12
Acc. to parameter	1. Mix Trichoderma	-	Soil drenching of	
7	@ 2.5 kg /ha with	-	Trichoderma@	
	50 kg fine sand or	-	50 gm/10 litter of	
	organic manure and	-	water using	
	soil application in	-	spray pump	
	side the groundnut	-	without nozzle.	
	row at 30 days after	-	(Intervention)	
	sowing in moist			
	condition (General			
	Recommendation-			
	(Farmers Methods)			
	2. Mixing			
	Trichoderma @ 2.5			
	kg/ha with castor			
	cake @ 500 kg/ha			
	at the time of			
	sowing with the			
	help of multi			
	purpose seed drill .			
	(Recommended			
	Practice by JAU).			

Net return (Profit) in Rs/Unit	BC Ratio
13	14
4125	1.20
4650	1.21
3400	1.17

# OFT – 6

1) <u>Title of on-farm trials</u>: Low yield in Groundnut due to due to improper tillage practice

2) <u>Problem definition:</u> 1. Shallow ploughing.

- 2. Lack of knowledge about soil moisture conservation and its importance.
- 3. Lack of knowledge regarding proper tillage practice.

3) Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement :

Category	Source of technology	Technology details
Technology Option1	Farmer method	Shallow plowing with 7-8 interculturing
Technology Option2	Recommendation	Deep plowing with 2-4 interculturing
Technology Option3	Intervention	Medium deep plowing with 4-5 interculturing

4) Source of technology : JAU, Junagadh

5) Production system and thematic area : Resource conservation technology

6) Thematic area : Resource conservation technology

7) Performance of the Technology with performance indicators :

<u> </u>		Data on the performance indicators of the technology assessed/refined Name of Technology Technology Technolog										
arme No.	Name of the	the	option 1				option 2			option 3		
Farmer No.	farmer	Village	Indicator 1 kg/ha	Indicator 2 %	Indicator 3	Indicator 1 kg/ha	Indicator 2 %	Indicator 3	Indicator 1 kg/ha	Indicator 2 %	Indicator 3	
1	B.D. Ramani	Khorana	1050	21		1160	24		1230	23		
2	A.M. Vekariya	Metoda	1110	22		1250	26		1320	25		
3	D.V. Rathod	Mesariya	990 21 1130 25 1200 23									
	Average		1050	21.3		1180	25.0		1250	23.7		

Indicator 1 : yield of groundnut (kg/ha) Indicator 2 : moisture content (%)

8) Final recommendation for micro level situation - Medium deep ploughing with 4-5 times inter culturing

9) Constraints identified and feedback for research ; --

10) Process of farmer's participation and their reaction : Farmers aware about benefit of medium deep ploughing

#### 11) Results of on farm trials

Crop/	Farming	Problem	Title of OFT	No	Technology	Parameters of
enterprise	situation	definition		of	assessed	assessment
				trials		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Oilseed	Rainfed	Low moisture	Low yield of		Low yield of	<ul> <li>Yield of groundnut</li> </ul>
	farming	content due to	Groundnut	3	Groundnut due	<ul> <li>Moisture percent</li> </ul>
		rain fed		3	to improper	
		farming			tillage practice	

Data on the	Results of	Feedback from	Technology	*Production
parameter	assessments	the farmers	assessed/refined	per unit
8	9	10	11	12
Acc. to parameter	1. Shallow ploughing	Low moisture	Medium deep	
7	with 7-8 interculturing		ploughing with 4-5	
		shallow plowing	interculturing	
	2. Deep ploughing with	Wilt due to deep		
	2-4 interculturing	plowing		

Net return (Profit) in Rs/Unit	BC Ratio
13	14
15100	1.93

# OFT – 7

- 1) <u>Title of on-farm trials</u>: Soil moisture conservation in summer groundnut cultivation
- 2) <u>Problem definition:</u> 1. Shallow ploughing.
  - 2. Lack of knowledge about soil moisture conservation and its importance.
  - 3. Lack of knowledge regarding proper tillage practice.
- 3) Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement :

Category	Source of technology	Technology details
Technology Option 1	Farmer method	T1- Control (No mulch)
Technology Option 2	Recommendation	T2- Degradable Plastic mulch
Technology Option 3	Intervention	T3- Wheat straw mulch
Technology Option 4	Intervention	T4- Groundnut shell mulch

- 4) Source of technology : JAU, Junagadh
- 5) <u>Production system and thematic area</u> : Resource conservation technology
- 6) <u>Thematic area : Resource conservation technology</u>
- 7) <u>Performance of the Technology with performance indicators</u> :

Farmer No.	Name of the farmer	Name of the Village	Data on the performance indicators of the technology assessed/refined											
			Tech	nolog	у	Tech	nolo	gy	Tech	nolog	ју	Tech	nolo	gy
			opt	ion 1	-	opt	tion 2	2	ор	tion 3		option 4		
			-	2	3	-	2	3	1	2	3	-	2	3
			tor Ja	tor	ndicator	tor Ja	tor	tor	tor	tor	tor	tor Ja	tor	tor
			dicatoi kg/ha	<u>ica</u>	ica	dicatoı kg/ha	ica	ica	dicatoı kg/ha	ica	ica	dicator kg/ha	ica	ica
			Indicator kg/ha	Indicator	pu	Indicator kg/ha	Indicator	Indicator	Indicator kg/ha	Indicator	Indicator	Indicator kg/ha	Indicator	Indicator
1	K. H. Pedhadiya	Rataiya	2195	21		2435	26		2405	22		2515	24	
2	L. H. Pedhadiya	Rataiya	2055	21		2340	25		2270	22		2325	23	
3	L. R. Saipariya	Rataiya	1985	20		2215	23		2170	21		2290	23	
	Average		2078	20.7		2330	24.7		2282	21.7		2377	23.3	

8) <u>Final recommendation for micro level situation</u> Groundnut shell / Plastic mulch

9) <u>Constraints identified and feedback for research</u>; - problem faced during installing plastic sheet between rows

# 10) <u>Process of farmers participation and their reaction</u> : - Farmers aware about benefit of mulching

//						
Crop/	Farming	Problem	Title of OFT	No	Technology	Parameters of
enterprise	situation	definition		of	assessed	assessment
				trials		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Oilseed	Rainfed	Low moisture	Low yield of		Low yield of	<ul> <li>Yield of groundnut</li> </ul>
	farming	content due to	Groundnut		Groundnut due	Moisture percent
		rain fed		3	to loss of	·
		farming			moisture during	
					summer	

# 11) Results of on farm trials :

Data on the parameter	Results of assessments	Feedback from the farmers	Technology assessed/refined	*Production per unit
8	9	10	11	12
Acc. to parameter	T1-No mulch	-	T4- Groundnut	
7	T3- Wheat straw	-	shell mulch	
	mulch		T2- Degradable	
			Plastic mulch	

Net return (Profit) in Rs/Unit	BC Ratio
13	14
-	-

# 3.2 Achievements of Frontline Demonstrations

# a. Follow-up for results of FLDs implemented during previous years

List of technologies demonstrated during previous year and popularized during 2009-10 and recommended for large scale adoption in the district

Sr.		Thematic	Technology	Details of popularization		ontal sp echnolo	
No	Crop	Area*	demonstrate d	methods suggested to the Extension system	No. of villa.	No.of farmer	Area in ha
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Groundnut	Varietal Evaluation	Seeds of Guj. Groundnut-5	Short duration, bunch type and high yielding	6	10	4
2	Groundnut	IDM	Newer fungicide	To minimize the tikka and rust disease in groundnut	5	10	4
3	Sesamum	Varietal Evaluation	Seeds of Guj. Til-2	Short duration, high yielding	5	10	4
	Green gram	Varietal Evaluation	Seed of green gram 4	Short duration, high pod length and yield	9	17	6.8
5	Gram	Varietal Evaluation	Seed of black gram GG-1	High yielding Variety	3	10	4
6	Black gram	Varietal Evaluation	Seeds of GU-1	High yielding Variety	5	5	2
7	Cumin	Varietal Evaluation	Seed of GC-4	Resistance to wilt and tolerant blight disease	10	15	6

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
8	Wheat	Varietal	Seeds of	bold size grain with	10	10	4
	VIIeat	Evaluation	GW-366	High yielding variety			
9	Cotton	Varietal	Variety(Akka)	High yielding Variety	15	25	10
	Collon	Evaluation					
10	Cotton	INM in cotton	INM	Balance fertilization	15	25	10

# b. Details of FLDs implemented during 2009-10

# Oilseeds

Sr. No.	Crop	Thematic	Technology Demonstrated	Season and				of farme onstrat		Reasons for
NU.		area			Proposed	Actual	SC/ST	Others	Total	shortfall
1	Groundnut	Varietal	Seeds of TG-38	Kharif -	8	8	-	20	20	-
_						-				
2	Sesamum	Varietal	Seeds of GT-2	Kharif -	2	2	_	5	5	_
2	ocoamam	Evaluation	00000012	09	2	2		U	0	
3	Groundnut	Pest	Tricogamma	Kharif -	4	4	_	10	10	
5	Giounanai	management	card	09	4	4	-	10	10	-
4	Groundnut	Disease	Trichoderma	Kharif -	4.8	4.8		12	12	
4	Groundhut	management	Powder	09	4.0	4.0	-	12	12	-

# Pulses

Sr. No.	Crop	Thematic	Technology Demonstrated	Season and	Area (	demonstration				Reasons for shortfall in
NO.		area	Demonstrated	year	Proposed	Actual	SC/ST	Others	Total	achievement
1	Black			Kharif –	4.0	4.0	_	10	10	_
1	gram	Evaluation		09	4.0	4.0	-	10	10	-
2	Green	Varietal	Seeds of GM-	Kharif –	4.0	4.0	_	10	10	
2	gram	Evaluation	4	09	4.0	4.0	-	10	10	
3	Gram	Varietal	Seeds of GG-	Rabi –	10.0	10.0		25	25	
3	Giam	Evaluation	1, 2 & 3	09	10.0	10.0	-	25	20	-

# Cotton

Sr. No.	Crop	Thematic area	Technology Demonstrated	Season and vear	Area (ha) Proposed Actual		dem	of farme onstrat Others	ion	Reasons for shortfall in achievement
1	Cotton	INM & IPM in cotton	INM & IPM	Kharif – 09	30	30	21	54	75	-

# Commercial crops (Cumin & Wheat)

Sr. No.	Crop	Thematic	Technology Demonstrated	Season and	Area (	Area (ha)		No. of farmers/ Demonstration			
NO.		area	Demonstrateu	year	Proposed	Actual	SC/ST	Others	Total	shortfall	
1	Wheat	Varietal Evaluation	Seeds of GW- 366	Rabi - 09	4.8	4.8	-	12	12	-	
		Variatal		Rabi - 09	4.8	4.8	-	12	12	-	

# Details of farming situation

Crop	Season arming situatior (RF/Irrigated)		Soil type	Sta	tus o	f soil	Previous crop	ing date	Harvest date	Seasonal rainfall (mm)	No. of rainy days
	Ň	<sup>-</sup> armin (RF/I	Sc	Ν	Р	К	Previ	Sowing	Harv	Seaso (	No. of
Groundnut	Kharif	RF	M. B.	Μ	М	Μ	Wheat	19/7/09	29/10/0 9	459.5	17
Sesamum	Kharif	RF	M. B.	М	М	М	Cumin	10/7/09	9/10/09	459.5	17
Green gram	Kharif	RF	M. B.	М	М	М	Groundnut	19/7/09	20/10/0 9	459.5	17
Back gram	Kharif	RF	M. B.	Μ	М	Μ	Cumin	11/6/09	18/9/09	459.5	17
Gram	Rabi	Irrigated	M. B.	М	М	М	Groundnut	5/11/09	16/2/10	-	-
Cumin	Rabi	Irrigated	M. B.	Μ	М	Μ	Green gram	6/11/09	27/2/10	-	-
Wheat	Rabi	Irrigated	M. B.	M	М	М	Groundnut	17/11/0 9	1/3/10	-	-
Cotton	Kharif	Irrigated	M. B.	М	М	М	Groundnut	25/6/09	10/12/0 9	459.5	17
. B. – Medium	Black	M.	- Mediur	n		•	•	•	•		

# Performance of FLD

Sr. No.	Сгор	Technology Demonstrated	Variety	No. of Farmers	Area (ha.)	-	Qtl/ha				Yield of local Check Qtl./ha	Increase in yield (%)	Data parame relatic techno demons (Rs	eter in on to ology strated
						Н	L	Α			Demo	Local		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
1	Groundnut	Variety	TG-38	20	8	4.8	3.6	3.8	3.7	2.70	1320	1200		
2	Sesamum	Variety	GT-2	5	2.0	3.75	3.5	2.9	2.65	9.43	122	70		
3	Green gram	Variety	GM-4	10	4.0	2.3	1.7	1.95	1.8	8.33	248	220		
4	Black gram	Variety	GU-1	10	4.0	5.0	3.5	1.68	1.6	5.0	228	200		
5	Gram	Variety	GG-1	12	4.8	22.1	15.5	20.1	16.8	19.58	3350	2850		
			GG-2	3	1.2	18.5	15	16.5	13.7	20.44	3350	2850		
			GG-3	10	4.0	19.0	11	15.0	12.6	19.05	3350	2850		
6	Wheat	Variety	GW- 366	12	4.8	50.5	42.0	46.3	40.5	30.28	4745	4370		
7	Cumin	Variety	GC-4	12	4.8	8.0	6.0	7.0	6.3	11.11	3871	3496		
8	Groundnut	Tricogamma card	GG-20	10	4.0	3.2	2.4	2.84	2.7	5.19	-	-		
9	Groundnut	<i>Trichoderma</i> Powder	GG-20	12	4.8	4.0	3.45	3.7	3.5	5.71	110	100		
10	Cotton	INM & IPM	B.t cotton	75	30	31.5	23.0	27.6	25.3	19.2	27658	32125		

Average Co cultivation (R		Average Gross (Rs./ha		Average Net (Profit) (Rs		Benefit- Cost
Demonstration	Local Check			Demonstration	Local Check	Ratio (Gross Return / Gross Cost)
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
12000	11800	11400	11100	-600	-700	1:0.95
9000	8500	17400	15900	8400	7400	1:1.93
10000	9700	11700	10800	1700	1100	1:1.17
9700	9500	10080	9600	380	100	1:1.04
11000	10500	40180	33600	29180	23100	1:3.65
11000	10500	33000	27400	22000	16900	1:3.00
11000	10500	30000	25200	19000	14700	1:2.73
15475	15100	78348	60137	62873	45037	1:5.06
13407	12800	80500	72450	67093	59650	1:6.00
12000	11800	8520	8100	-3480	-3700	1:0.71
12000	11800	11100	10500	-900	-1300	1:0.93
27658	32125	94120	85850	66462	53725	1:3.40

# Economic Impact (continuation of previous table)

# Analytical Review of component demonstrations

Сгор	Season	Component	Farming situation	Average yield (q/ha)	Local check (q/ha)	Percentage increase in productivity over local check
Groundnut	Kharif	Seed/Variety	Rainfed	3.8	3.7	2.70
Sesamum	Kharif	Seed/Variety	Rainfed	2.9	2.65	9.43
Green gram	Kharif	Seed/Variety	Rainfed	1.95	1.8	8.33
Black gram	Kharif	Seed/Variety	Rainfed	1.68	1.6	5.00
Gram	Rabi	Seed/Variety	Irrigated	20.1	16.8	19.58
Gram	Rabi	Seed/Variety	Irrigated	16.5	13.7	20.44
Gram	Rabi	Seed/Variety	Irrigated	15.0	12.6	19.05
Wheat	Rabi	Seed/Variety	Irrigated	46.3	35.5	30.28
Cumin	Rabi	Seed/Variety	Irrigated	7.0	6.3	11.11
Groundnut	Kharif	Tricogamma card	Rainfed	2.84	2.7	5.19
Groundnut	Kharif	<i>Trichoderma</i> Powder	Rainfed	3.7	3.5	5.71
Cotton	Kharif	INM & IPM	Irrigated	27.6	25.3	19.2

# Technical Feedback on the demonstrated technologies

Sr. No.	Feed Back
1	To enhance the farmers to use recently developed notified varieties of related crop.
2	Proper use of fertilizers, Irrigation, insecticides and fungicide as per recommendation
	to reduce the production cost.

# Farmers' reactions on specific technologies

Sr. No.	Feed Back
1	Cumin variety GC-4 is high yielding and resistant to wilt.
2	Bunch type groundnut variety is suitable in for rain fed area.
3	Application of <i>Trichoderma</i> is very useful for minimizing the stem rot in groundnut but at the time of application (30 to 40 DAS) unavailability of moisture is the major problem.
4	Wheat variety GW-366 is high yielding

# Extension and Training activities under FLD

Sr. No.	Activity	No. of activities organized	Date	Number of participants	Remarks
1	Farmers Training	8	-	330	-
2	Media coverage	3	-	-	-
3	Kisan Ghosthi	2	-	43	-
4	Field day	4		156	-
	TOTAL	17	-	529	

# 3.3 Achievements on Training (Including the sponsored, vocational, FLD and trainings under Rainwater Harvesting Unit) : A) ON Campus

Thematic area	No. of	Participants									
	courses		Others			SC/ST		0	Grand Tota	al	
		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
(A) Farmers & Farm Women											
Weed		04		0.4			0	0.4	0	0.1	
Management	1	24		24			0	24	0	24	
Cropping Systems	1	27		27	3		3	30	0	30	
Production of quality animal products	1	15		15				15	0	15	
Designing and development for high nutrient efficiency diet	1		17	17							
Value addition	1		35	35		1	1	0	36	36	
TOTAL	5	66	52	118	3	1	4	69	53	122	
(B) Extension Personnel											
Integrated Pest Management	1	22		22				22		22	
Integrated Nutrient manag.	1	27		27				27		27	
Management in farm animals	1	28		28	1		1	29		29	
TOTAL	3	77	0	77	1	0	1	78	0	78	

# B) OFF Campus

Thematic area	No. of	f Participants								
	course		Others			SC/ST		G	Frand Tota	I
	S	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Tot al	Male	Female	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
(A) Farmers & Farm Women										
Water management	1	38		38				38		38
Integrated Crop Management	2	112	6	118	13		13	125	6	131
Protective cultivation (Green Houses, Shade Net etc.)	2	38		38	2		2	40		40
Cultivation of Fruit	1	21		21	2		2	23		23
Nursery Management	1	39		39				39		39
Soil fertility management	1	49		49	4		4	53		53
Dairy Management	1		21	21		5	5		26	26
Disease Management	1	27		27	8		8	35		35
Feed management	1	31		31	5		5	36		36
Production of quality animal		10		10				10		10
products Value addition	1 2	18	72	18 72		1	1	18	73	18 73
Income generation activities for empowerment			12			<u> </u>	1			10
of rural Women	1		30	30		4	4		34	34
Rural Crafts	1		24	24					24	24
Integrated Pest	4	04		04	4		Α	05		05
Management Integrated Disease	1	81		81	4		4	85		85
Management	1	33		33				33		33
TOTAL	18	487	153	640	38	10	48	525	163	688

# C) Consolidated table (ON and OFF Campus)

	No. of	of Participants								
Thematic area	courses		Others			SC/ST	-	0	Grand Tot	al
		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
(A) Farmers &										
Farm Women										
Weed										
Management	1	24		24			0	24	0	24
Cropping					_		_		_	
Systems	1	27		27	3		3	30	0	30
Water										
management	1	38		38				38		38
Integrated Crop		440	0	440	10		10	105	•	404
Management	2	112	6	118	13		13	125	6	131
Protective										
cultivation										
(Green Houses,	2	20		20	2		2	40		40
Net etc.)	2	38		38	2		2	40		40
Cultivation of	4	04		04	2		2	22		22
Fruit	1	21		21	2		2	23		23
Nursery	1	39		39				39		39
Management	I	39		39				39		39
Soil fertility	1	49		49	4		4	53		53
management	1	49		49	4		4	- 55		55
Dairy Management	1		21	21		5	5		26	26
Disease	1		21	21		5	5		20	20
Management	1	27		27	8		8	35		35
Feed	1	21		21	0		0	- 55		- 55
management	1	31		31	5		5	36		36
Production of	•	01		01	0		0	00		00
quality animal										
products	2	33		33				33		33
Value addition	3		107	107		2	2		109	109
Income			-	_						
generation										
activities	1		30	30		4	4		34	34
Location specific										
drudgery										
reduction										
technologies										
Rural Crafts	1		24	24					24	24
Integrated Pest										
Management	1	81		81	4		4	85		85
IDM	1	33		33				33		33
TOTAL	23	553	205	758	41	11	52	594	216	810
(C) Extension										
Personnel										
Integrated Pest		00		00						00
Management	1	22		22				22		22
Integrated	1	27		27				27		27
Nutrient manag.										
Management in	4	20		20	4		4	20		20
farm animals TOTAL	1 3	28 <b>77</b>	0	28 <b>77</b>	1 1	0	1 1	29 <b>78</b>	0	29 <b>78</b>
IUIAL	ാ	11	U	11	I	U	I	10	U	10

# (D) Sponsored Training Programmes

Sr. No	Date	Title	Disci	Thema tic	Durati on	Client (PF/R	No. of cours	•				Sponsori ng Agency					
INO			pline	area	(days)	Y/EF)	Y/EF) es		Others		SC/ST						
1	8/10/0 9	Protected Cultivation of Vegetable crops.	Horti	Export potenti al vegeta bles	1	PF	1	<u>M</u>	-	<u>т</u> 11	2	-	2	13	F	Т 13	NHRDF
2	18/12/ 09	Loans/Sub sidies for Dairy farm animals	A.H	Dairy Manage ment	1	FW	1	-	24	24	-	2	2		26	26	FTC
3	22/12/ 09	Improved cultivation practices of major rabi crops	Agro.	Producti on and manage ment technolo gy	1	PF	1	24	-	24	-	-	-	24		24	FTC
4	7/1/10	Breed Improveme nt of Cattle and Buffalo through A.I./ Natural services	A.H.	Breed Improv ement	1	PF	1	24	-	24	-	-	-	24		24	FTC
5	6/2/10	Vaccinatio n in mothers and children	H.S.	Mother & Childre n care	1	FW	1	-	44	44	-	-	-		44	44	NGO
6	9/2/10	Reduction of Inter calving period in buffalos	A.H.	Dairy Manage ment	1	PF	1	16	-	16	-	-	-	16		16	FTC
7	22/12/ 09	Nursery raising for fruits and vegetable crops	Horti	Nurser y manag ment	1	PF	1	18	-	18	-	-	-	18		18	NHRDF
8	31/12/ 09	Fruits and vegetables preservati on	H.S.	Preserva tion	1	FW	1	-	37	37	-	12	12		49	49	FTC
9	16/2/1 0	Nursery raising for fruits and vegetable crops	Horti	Nurser y manag ment	1	PF	1	10	2	12				10	2	12	NHRDF
10	19/2/1 0	Preservati on of Lemon	H.S.	Value addition	1	FW	1		44	44		3	3		47	47	NGO
11	26/3/1 0	Scientific Dairy Farming	A.H.	Dairy Manage ment	1	FW	1		52	52		3	3		55	55	FTC

3.4.	<b>Extension Activities</b>	(including activities	s of FLD programmes)
J.T.		(including activities	$\mathbf{b}$ of $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{b}$ programmes

	Ţ		T	Participants											
Sr. No.	Nature of Extension Activity	Purpose/ topic and Date		Farme	ers (Ot (I)	thers)		SC/S arme	Τ	Ext	tens fficia (III)			and To (I+II+III)	
	ACTIVILY	Date	'	м	F	Т	M	(II) F	Т	M	(III) F	Т	м	F	Т
1	2	3	4	5	г 6	7	1VI 8	г 9	10	11	г 12	13	14	г 15	16
1.		1/10/09										• •			_
	Field Day	Sesamum	1	41	1 _'	41	9	_'	9				50		50
		8/2/10	1	62	['	62	['						62		62
		Wheat		02	<u> </u>	02	<u> </u>						02	ll	02
		10/2/10	1	30	-   '	30	ĺ '	Ĺ.	[ I	Ē .	[		30		30
	ļ'	Gram	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>ب</u>	└───'		└───'	<b> </b> '	ļ!		$\square$		~~	ļ!	
		26/2/10 Cumin	1	31	1 '	31	1 '	'							
	łł	3/3/10	<i>י</i>	<b>├──</b> ┤	├───┘	<b>├</b> ───┦	├───┘	<b>├</b> ───'	───┦	├	┝─┤		$\left  \right $		<u>├</u>
		Wheat	1	24	1 '	24	1 '	'							1 1
	++	4/3/10						<u> </u>	<u>├</u> ──┦	<u> </u>	+-+				
		Wheat	1	40	1 _'	40	1'	'	!	l _	_!				
	Total		6	228	0	228	9	0	9	0	0	0	142	0	142
2.	Kisan Mela	'	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>								
3.	Kisan Ghosthi	4/11/09	1	28	<b>└──</b> '	28	2	<b> </b> '	2	<b> </b>			30	ļ	30
	Kisan Ghosthi	13/11/09	1	31	<b>└──</b> ′	31	1	<b> </b> '	1	<b> </b>	<u>   </u>		32	<sup> </sup>	32
	Kisan Ghosthi	20/11/09	1	19	⊢'	19	4	<b> </b> '	4		'		23	<sup> </sup>	23
	Kisan Ghosthi	30/11/09	1	47	<b>└───</b> '	47	5	<b> </b> '	5	—	$\vdash$		52	<sup> </sup>	52 37
	Kisan Ghosthi Total	15/1/10	1	35		35	2	<u> </u>	2	<u> </u>	Ļ		37		
4.	Exhibition	t'	5	160	0	160	14	0	14	0	0	0	174	0	174
4. 5.	Film Show	- 18/12/09	- 1		24	- 24	'	2	- 2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-		- 26	- 26
5.	Film Show	19/12/09	1	15		15	<sup> </sup>			<u> </u>	$\vdash$		15		15
	Film Show	4/1/10	1	24	<sup> </sup>	24	<sup> </sup>	<u> </u>	┝───┦	$\vdash$	+ - +		24		24
	Film Show	6/1/10	1	+	35	35		1	1		+-+			36	36
	Film Show	26/2/10	1	33		33				<b> </b>			33		33
	Film Show	6/2/10	1		44	44				<u> </u>				44	44
	Film Show	9/2/10	1	16		16							16		16
	Film Show	16/2/10	1	10		10	2		2				12		12
	Film Show	12/3/10	1	28	Ļ'	28	1	<u> </u> '	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	29		29
	Film Show	25/3/10	1	22	<u> </u>	22	<u> </u>	<b> </b> '	<u>                                     </u>	<u> </u>	$\square$		22	<sup> </sup>	22
	Total Mathead	<b> </b> '	10	148	103	251	3	3	6	0	0	0	151	106	257
6.	Method	47		1 1	1 '		1 '								
	Demonstrations Farmers	'	1	132	12	144	32	<b> </b> '	32	16	3	19	180	15	195
7.	Seminar	5/3/10		132	12	144	52		32	10	3	19	100	15	195
8.	Workshop	-	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9.	Group meetings		1	24		24	3	'	3		+-+		27		27
	Group meetings		1	21		21							21		21
	Total		2	45	0	45	3	0	3	0	0	0	48	0	48
10.	Lectures	Oct-09	7	800	66	866	121	3	124	-	-	-			
	delivered as	Nov-09	2	42	-	42	7	-	7	-	-	-	49	0	49
	resource	Dec-09	9	4135	121	4256	132	18	250	-	-	-	4267	139	4406
	persons	Jan10	8	370	23	393	23	-	23	3	-	3	396	23	419
	-  -	Feb10	9	855	143	998	40	2	42	7	-	7	902	145	1047
	Total	Mar10	6	4331	978	5309	59	22	81	5	Ļ	5	4395	1000	5395
	Newspaper	·'	41	10533	1331	11864	382	45	527	15	0	15	10009	1307	11316
11.	coverage	1	4	1	1 '		1 '								
12.	Radio talks	18/2/10	1	[]				'	++	<u> </u>	+				
<u> </u>	Radio talks	23/2/10	1	it	[]				<b>├</b> ── <b>†</b>						
	Radio talks	29/3/10	1	[]	('		[]		<b>!</b>						

4	2	2	4	-	<b>^</b>	7	•		40	44	40	40	44	45	40
1	Z Total	3	43	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
13.	TV talks	9/11/09	<u> </u>												
13.	TV talks	3/3/10	1												
	Total	3/3/10	2												
14.	Popular articles	-	7												
14.	Total	-	3												
	Extension		5												
15.	Literature		9												
16.	Advisory Services														
17.	Scientific visit to farmers field		9	78		78	6		6	2		2	86		86
18.	Farmers visit to KVK			968	827	1795	150	106	256	21		21	1139	933	2072
19.	Diagnostic visits		9												
20.	Exposure visits		-												
21.	Ex-trainees Sammelan		10	201	76	277							201	76	277
22.	Soil health Camp	-													
23.	Animal Health Camp	31/10/09	1	110		110				3		3	113		113
		10/10/09	1	60		60				2		2	62		62
		17/11/09	1	49		49	2		2	9		9	60		60
		25/11/09	1	35		35				6		6	41		41
		27/11/09	1	53		53							53		53
		30/11/09	1	52		52							52		52
		19/12/09	1	38		38	2		2				40		40
	Total		7	397	0	397	4	0	4	20	0	20	421	0	421
24.	Agri mobile clinic	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25.	Soil test campaigns	2975													
26.	Farm Science Club Conveners meet	-													
27.	Self Help Group Conveners meetings	5/11/09	1		17	17								17	17
28.	Mahila Mandals Conveners meetings	12/1/10	1		12	12		1	1					13	13
		23/3/10	1		17	17								17	17
	Total		2	0	29	29	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	30	30

#### 2009-10

# 3.5 Production and supply of Technological products 2009-10

# SEED MATERIALS

Sr. No.	Сгор	Variety	Quantity (Kg)	Value (Rs.)	Provided to No. of Farmers
OILSEEDS	Groundnut (Breeder seed)	GG-5	630	31500	-
	Groundnut (Mega seed)	GG-5	160	6400	-
	Groundnut (Certified)	GG-20	140	3675	-
	Sesamum (Breeder seed)	GT-2	200	22220	-
	Sesamum (certified)	GT-2	920	77055	-

PULSES	Black Gram	T-9	360	23435	-
OTHERS					

 

 3.6. Literature Developed/Published (with full title, author & reference)

 (A) KVK News Letter ((Date of start, Periodicity, number of copies distributed etc.)

 (B) Literature developed/published

ltem	Title	Authors name	Number
1	2	3	4
Research papers	A comparative study of different tests for diagnosis of sub clinical mastitis in camel	J.B.Kathiriya and N.M Shah	Not applicable
	Monthly Progress Report Quarterly Progress Report Moniterable Quarterly Progress Report Annual Progress Report	Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Targhadia	8
TOTAL	4		8
News letters	-	-	-
Technical bulletins		-	-
Popular articles	parat apo	Dr.M.B.Viradia,,Dr.N.D.Polara,Dr.J.B. Kathiriya,Dr.B.B.Kabaria, Shri.P.P.Gajjar, Dr.A.V.Kanpara	Not applicable
	-dudha utpadko mate aek vardan		
	Aushdhiya Sarvar	Dr.J.B.Kathiriya, Miss.R.T.Padaliya, Dr.B.B.Kabaria	
		Dr.J.B.Kathiriya, Dr.M.B.Viradia, Dr.B.B.Kabaria	
	Soyabinni Svadist vangio	Ms. H.A. Manvar, Dr.B.B.Kabaria	
	Fal zadna bagichha mate kalam/ ropani pasandagi ane ropani	Dr.N.D.Polara,Dr.B.B.Kabaria,	
		Dr.J.B.Kathiriya, Dr.B.B.Kabaria, Shri.P.P.Gajjar, Ms.H.A.Manvar	
TOTAL	7		
	Pashuoma Uthala Marvana Karno ane tenu Nirakaran	Dr. J. B. Khathiriya Dr.N.D.Polara & Dr. B. B. Kabaria	1000
		Dr. J. B. Khathiriya Dr.N.D.Polara Shri J.K.Rasadia & Dr. B. B. Kabaria	1000
	Sangrahit Anajma Jivat Niyantran	Shri D.A.Saradva, Shri G.B.Vekaria & Dr. B.B.Kabaria	1000
	Sankalit Jaiv Poshan Vyavsthapan	Shri D.A.Saradva, Shri M.G.Khokhani,Shri D.V.Muchhadia & Dr. B.B.Kabaria	1000
	Limbini Vaigyanik kheti Padhatti	Dr. N.D. Polara, Dr.J.B.Kathiriya & Dr. B.B.Kabaria	1000
	Pashu Aharma Mineral	Dr. J. B. Khathiriya Shri M.G.Khokhani,	1000

	Mixtureni Upyogita	Ku. H.A.Manvar & Dr. B. B. Kabaria	
	Fal ane Shakbhaji	Ku. H.A.Manvar, Dr. N.D.Polara, Dr.	1000
	Parirakshan	J.B.Kathiriya & Dr. B. B. Kabaria,	
	Kapashma sankalit Jivat	Dr. B.B.Kabaria, Shri D.V. Muchhadia &	1000
	Niyantran vyavastha	shri D.A.Saradva	
ΤΟΤΑΙ	8		8000

# 3.7. Success stories/Case studies, if any

#### Success story-1

# 1. Title : Cultivation of New Mustard variety (GM-2)

#### 2. Background :

Mr. Parshotambhai Bhut is the farmer of Chhapra village of Lodhika Taluka, District Rajkot. He is a progressive farmer and regularly in touch with KVK, Targhadia. Previously he was cultivating Wheat and Cumin crop. After coming in contact with the scientist of KVK, Targhadia he cultivated the improved and recently release variety of mustard (Gujarat Mustard – 2) as a Front Line Demonstration and harvested good yield (23.75 Q/ha) as compared to local one (14.69 Q/ha) during Rabi 2006-07. With introduction of new variety, he got high additional net return.

# 3. intervention: Introduction of new crop in this area process: technology:

4. **Impact:** This variety GM-2 will increase the production of Mustard from14.69 to 23.75 Q/ha which will improve the economic condition of farmers of Saurashtra area

#### Success story-2

#### 1. Title : Production of vermi compost

# 2. Background :

Mr. Haresh M. Saipariya, a farmer of village Rataiya, Ta-Lodhika, Dist- Rajkot cultivating cotton since last 10 years. Due to continuous mono cropping and less use of organic matter in soil, the soil health and fertility destroyed in some extent. The yield of cotton reduced year after year. Due to contact with Krishi Vigyan Kendra, he started to produce Vermi compost and use in his own farm from last three years. Due to use of vermicompost the soil health and fertility improved and he got good yield of cotton since last three years

3. intervention: Use of organic matter in soil

Impact: After use of vermi compost the cotton yield increased from 2000 kg/ha to 3600 kg/ha

Horizontal spread : Most of the farmers of this village use vermicompost in his soil Economic gains : 40000 Rs./ha Employment generation : NIL

# Success story-3

#### 1. Title : Minimise the problem of wilt and blight disease in cumin

#### 2. Background :

Mr. Laljibhai Saipariya is the farmer of Rataiya village of Lodhika Taluka, District Rajkot. He is a progressive farmer and regularly in touch with KVK, Targhadia. Previously he was cultivating Wheat and Cumin crop with old variety in Rabi season. In this cumin cultivation he suffered lot of from heavy infestation of wilt and blight diseases as a result there was a considerable loss in yield of the cumin. After

coming in contact with the scientist of KVK, Targhadia he cultivated the improved and recently release variety of cumin (Gujarat Cumin – 4) as a Front Line Demonstration and harvested good yield (7.50 Q/ha) as compared to local one (4.85 Q/ha) during Rabi 2006-07. With introduction of new variety, he found this variety of cumin is highly tolerant to wilt and blight disease and he got high additional net return.

3. intervention: Disease management in cumin

# 4. process:

#### technology:

Impact: This variety GC-4 will increase the production of Cumin from 4.85 to 7.50 Q/ha which will improve the economic condition of farmers of Saurashtra area.
 Horizontal spread : Most of the farmers of this village use Cumin variety-GC-4
 Economic gains : Increased the yield from 500 kg/ha to 1000 kg/ha
 Employment generation : NIL

#### Success story-4

1. Title : An effective approach for the management of groundnut stem rot :

#### 2. Background :

Groundnut and cotton are the major Kharif crops and cumin in Rabi season in operational area of KVK. During the survey in March 2001, it was observed that majority of farmers are growing groundnut variety GG-20 with wide spreading of 90 cm, so that agricultural practices can be done easily. Farmers are recommended to sow groundnut by keeping row spacing of 60 cm and for controlling the stem rot, seed should be treated with Trichoderma culture @ 4 gm/kg seeds and soil application @ 2.5 kg with 50 kg of castor cake at 30-40 days after sowing by using drill in moist condition. By organizing the activities like group discussion, night meeting, field day etc. Mr. Bhupatsinh Jadeja a farmer of Devalia village who took the interest to conduct demonstration under complete guidance and frequent supervision of KVK scientist. After adopting this improved technology, Mr. Bhupatsinh Jadeja harvest Groundnut pod yield of 31.25 q/ha with gross return of Rs. 46875 per ha as compared to 23.75 q/ ha with gross return of Rs. 35625 per ha by traditional practice.

3. intervention: Disease management in groundnut

#### 4. process:

#### technology:

**Impact:** Additional yield can be obtained in case of Groundnut by application of *Trichoderma*.

**Horizontal spread :** Most of the farmers of this village use *Trichoderma* to control stem rot **Economic gains :** Increased the yield from 800kg/ha to 1200 kg/ha **Employment generation :** NIL

#### Success story-5

1. Title : Introduction of new crop in Saurashtra region Background :

Farmer's Name: Rameshbhai Tarpara (Mob. 9824362442)

Village: Nagarpipaliya, Ta: Lodhika Dist : Rajkot

He is a progressive farmer of Rajkot district if Nagarpipaliya village. He inspired to cultivate mosambi from Nagpur (MH), learned cultivation technology and planted the mosambi graft in his field. He received planting materials from Maharashtra. Total 12000 mosambi plants are planted within three years. After three year he take fruits of success from the mosambi cultivation and provide the motivation for introduction of new crops in non traditional areas like Lodhika taluka of Rajkot district.. He also take intercrops between the plant during initial three to four years and got extra income till main crop start to gave production.

2. intervention: Introduction of new horticultural crop

# 3. process:

. technology:

> **Impact:** Successful cultivation of mosambi and he assume high income from this crop **Horizontal spread :** Most of the farmers of the area interested to visit this farm **Economic gains :** He assume to earn net profit of Rs. 3 Lack /ha. from his field **Employment generation :** NIL

			(ha)	Details of production			Amount (Rs.)		
Name Of the crop		Date of harvest	Area (h	Variety	Type of Produce	Qty. (kg)	Cost of inputs	Gross income	Remarks
Cereals : nil									
Pulses									
Black Gram	14/7/09	29/10/09	3.30	T-9	Seed(certi.)	360	7047	23435	-
					B Grade	40		2014	
Oilseeds									
Groundnut	11/7/09	22/10/09	4.18	GG-5	Pod	630	35917	31500*	
				Breeder	B Grade	80		2000*	
				seed	Fodder			21220	
Groundnut	12/7/09	25/10/09	1.73	GG-5	Pod	160	7394	6400*	
				Mega	B Grade	20		500*	
				Seed	Fodder			9770	
Groundnut	13/7/09	24/10/09	1.87	GG-20	Pod	140	3511	3675*	
				Certi.	B Grade	35		900*	
					Fodder				
Sesamum	12/7/09	15/10/09	1.02	GTill-2	Seed	200	1411	22220*	
				Breeder	B Grade	10		650*	
Sesamum	12/7/09	8/10/09	3.73	GTill-2	Seed	920	5037	77055	
				Certi.	B Grade	150		9750	1
Total Income		•		·	·			211089	

# 4 Performance of instructional farm (Crops) including seed production

\* Expected Income based on previous year Price

# 5. FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

# 5.1 Utilization of funds under FLD on Oilseed (Rs.) (Budget Head 2704-15)

	Released by ICAR		Expenditure		Unspent balance as on 1 <sup>st</sup> April		
ltem	Kharif 2009	Rabi 2009-10	Kharif 2009- 0 2009 10		2010		
Inputs							
Extension activities							
TA/DA/POL etc.							
TOTAL			27	,000	-27,000		

# 5.2 Utilization of funds under FLD on Pulses (Rs. In Lakhs) (Budget Head 2704-24)

ltem	Released by ICAR		Expenditure		Unspent balance as on 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2010
	Kharif 2009	Rabi 2009-10	Kharif 2009	Kharif 2009-10	Rabi 2009-10
Inputs					
Extension activities					
TA/DA/POL etc.					
TOTAL			28430		-28430

# 5.3 Utilization of funds under FLD on Cotton (Rs. In Lakhs) (Budget Head 2704-36)

Item	Released by ICAR		Expenditure		Unspent balance as on 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2010	
	Kharif 2009	Rabi 2009-10	Kharif 2009	Kharif 2009-10	Rabi 2009-10	
Inputs						
Extension activities						
TA/DA/POL etc.						
TOTAL	80	,000	9	8,513	-18,513	

Sr.	Particulars	Sanctioned	Released	Expenditure					
No.				•					
1	2	3	4	5					
A. Recurring Contingencies									
1	Pay & Allowances	42.00	42.00	40.85					
2	Traveling allowances	1.00	1.00	0.77					
3	Contingencies								
Α	Stationery, telephone, postage and other	1.50	1.50	2.55					
	expenditure on office running, publication of								
	Newsletter and library maintenance								
	(Purchase of News Paper & Magazines)								
В	POL, repair of vehicles, tractor and equipments	0.90	0.90	1.21					
С	Meals/refreshment for trainees (ceiling upto	0.70	0.70	0.36					
	Rs.40/day/trainee be maintained)								
D	Training material (posters, charts,	0.80	0.80	0.79					
	demonstration material including chemicals								
	etc. required for conducting the training)								
E	Frontline demonstration except oilseeds and	0.90	0.90	0.01					
	pulses (minimum of 30 demonstration in a								
	year)								
F	On farm testing (on need based, location		0.60	0.03					
	specific and newly generated information in								
<u> </u>	the major production systems of the area)	0.40	0.40	0.07					
G H	Training of extension functionaries	0.40	0.40						
	Maintenance of buildings	0.20	0.20	0.01					
1	Establishment of Soil, Plant & Water Testing Laboratory								
J	Library								
5	TOTAL (A)	49.00	49.00	46.65					
B No	on-Recurring Contingencies	43.00	43.00	40.00					
1	Works								
2	Equipments including SWTL & Furniture	0.40	0.40	0.38					
3	Vehicle (Four wheeler/Two wheeler, please	0110	0110	0.00					
	specify)								
4	Library (Purchase of assets like books &	0.10	0.10	0.09					
	journals)								
	TOTAL (B)	0.50	0.50	0.47					
C. RE									
	GRAND TOTAL (A+B+C)	49.50		47.12					
L	· · ·	<u> </u>							

# 5.4 Utilization of KVK funds during the year 2009 – 10 (Rs in Lakh)